

Supported by  
**INTELLIGENT ENERGY**  
EUROPE

HELENIC ASSOCIATION OF PHOTOVOLTAIC COMPANIES



## Project PV-GRID

### Enhancing PV Hosting Capacity in Distribution Grids

18/03/2014

WWW.PVGRID.EU



## Enhancing PV Hosting Capacity in Distribution Grids



Related issues:  
**voltage limitation**  
**thermal limitation**  
system stability  
security of supply

Solution types:  
**DSO** - installed and managed on the grid side - not requiring any interaction with the consumers or the PV plants  
**Prosumer** - installed before the energy meter - reacting based on the grid characteristics at the grid connection point  
**Interactive** – requiring a communication infrastructure linking the hardware located in different grid locations

WWW.PVGRID.EU

17/03/2014

2

## DSO solutions I



**Network reinforcement** - the most frequently adopted action today - costs can be significantly high

**On Load Tap Changer (MV/LV transformer)** - tap changers are usually not automated – accompanied by increase in transformer losses

**On Load Tap Changer (HV/MV transformer)** - OLTCs are changed according to the voltage at the MV busbar and the transformer load - they must be combined with some advanced voltage regulation system based on measurements within the MV (and possibly the LV) grid

**Static VAR Control** - they provide instantaneously reactive power in a MV (mainly) or LV grid (resistive part of the impedance is prevailing)



## DSO solutions II



**DSO storage** - for peak shaving, power shifting, ancillary services and backup in case of grid failure - may contradict with neutrality of DSOs to energy markets

**Booster Transformers** - MV-MV or LV-LV transformers that can be used to stabilize the voltage along a feeder

**Network Reconfiguration** - MV grids are usually topologically meshed, but operated radially - this solution has usually a quite low impact

**Advanced Closed-Loop Operation** - simultaneous feed from 2 points - moderate impact



## Prosumer solutions I



**Prosumer Storage** - for peak shaving and buffering of fluctuating generation - mainly interesting in areas where PV capacity is located next to comparable loads (residential for LV grid or PV clusters for MV grid)

### Self-consumption by tariff incentives

Fixed tariffs: if feed-in price lower than consumption price, the prosumer optimizes his demand

Direct or indirect incentives for self-consumption: a premium is granted for all the electricity self-consumed



## Prosumer solutions II



**Capacity curtailment** - feed-in power never above a fixed value (AC , not DC reference) - even a significant reduction of the generated power (kW) would cause only a small loss of energy production (kWh)

**Active power control by PV inverter P(U)** - the grid voltage could be used as a proxy indicator for the grid situation and for the curtailment level - for economic reasons, should be used only when all other less expensive solutions have been applied

**Reactive power control by PV inverter Q(U), Q(P)** - as a function of the local voltage value [Q=Q(U)] or as a function of the active power production [Q=Q(P)] - more effective in MV networks than in LV ones



## Interactive solutions



**Demand response by local price signals** - only available to consumers located in feeders, which experience voltage and/or congestion problems

**Demand response by market price signals** - low impact

**Direct load control** - DSO or energy aggregators allowed to remotely activate or curtail dedicated consumer loads, based on agreed contract (capacity payment is offered) - more suitable for MV grids

**PV inverter control (Q and P)** - reactive power provision and active power reduction remotely controlled by a feeder supervisory control system - less suitable for LV networks

**Wide area voltage control** - Smart grid technologies applied to enable measuring the voltage and power factor at several points, controlling the equipment, coordinating and optimizing the generation and load

## Evaluation parameters and methodology

### Parameter 1:

**cost-benefit indicator** - based on cost, impact on voltage and impact on congestion

### Parameter 2:

**regulatory priority indicator** - based on availability of technology and applicability within existing regulations  
for 2 grid levels:

**LV and MV grids**

### Outcome:

three effectiveness levels (**high, medium, and low effectiveness**)



Supported by  
**INTELLIGENT ENERGY**  
EUROPE



**Thank you for your attention**

Panos Sarris

HELLENIC ASSOCIATION OF PHOTOVOLTAIC COMPANIES



Disclaimer: The sole responsibility for the content of this presentation lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Union. Neither the EACI nor the European Commission are responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

[WWW.PVGRID.EU](http://WWW.PVGRID.EU)

